



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent Application

Applicant(s): A.D. Baker et al.

Case:

19-3

Serial No.:

09/484,098

Filing Date: Group:

January 18, 2000 2157

Examiner:

Gregory G. Todd

Title:

Methods and Apparatus for Local Network

Address Acquisition, Analysis and Substitution

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited on this date with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date: August 1, 2003

RECEIVED

AUG 0 6 2003

**Technology Center 2100** 

## **RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION**

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The following remarks are submitted in response to the final Office Action dated May 5, 2003 in the above-identified application. A Notice of Appeal is submitted concurrently herewith.

## **REMARKS**

The present application was filed on January 18, 2000 with claims 1-21. Claims 1-21 are pending in the application.

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the present application in view of the remarks below.

The Examiner has objected to the drawings as allegedly failing to meet the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §1.83(a). More specifically, the Examiner argues that the drawings are objectionable for failing to show a gateway, IP addresses and headers as referred to in the claims. Applicants respectfully disagree. FIG. 1 of the drawings clearly shows a gateway 110. The gateway 110 is